



## Remarks for March 18, 2026 Multistakeholder Consultation

# CCIA Remarks on the Global Dialogue on Artificial Intelligence Governance

Thank you, Ambassadors López and Tammasaar, and distinguished colleagues.

I am Gabriel Delsol from the Computer & Communications Industry Association, or CCIA, an international non-profit membership organization representing companies in the computer, internet, information technology, and telecommunications industries. I also welcome the comments from several of my colleagues on the call today, including those from the International Chamber of Commerce.

CCIA welcomes the establishment of the Global Dialogue as a platform to advance shared understanding of AI governance. AI is increasingly a foundational driver of economic growth with significant potential to accelerate inclusive development, including through the investments, technologies, and services deployed by CCIA member companies worldwide.

In that spirit, I will offer a few brief reflections on the Dialogue, and we look forward to providing more detailed written input as the preparatory process continues.

First, on the Dialogue's purpose and added value, CCIA views its primary role as facilitating the exchange of best practices and reducing friction across national approaches through greater alignment in high-level principles, rather than advancing prescriptive or centralized governance frameworks. The Dialogue is best positioned to highlight how different approaches can support AI development and deployment while enabling broader global access to AI technologies. Unlocking AI's economic and societal benefits will ultimately depend on the ability to deploy AI services and underlying infrastructure across borders, yet growing policy fragmentation risks cutting off access to these technologies, especially for the communities that stand to gain the most.

Second, on thematic focus, we would encourage the Dialogue to remain anchored in the core areas already identified in the mandate, rather than expanding into tangential and highly technical areas such as intellectual property or competition policy that are more appropriately addressed in other fora. As the co-facilitators consider thematic clusters, structuring discussions around implementation and real-world application, rather than restating the mandate in silos, would help ensure conversations are focused on practical outcomes. In that context, it would be valuable to draw on ongoing work already underway across the private sector and other stakeholders, including practical efforts to deploy AI responsibly and at scale, to ensure discussions are grounded in real-world implementation experience.

Third, with respect to structure, participation, and outputs, the Dialogue should adopt a transparent, multistakeholder approach that enables meaningful engagement from all actors, including through open calls for written submissions, publication of inputs, and opportunities for both in-person and remote participation across regions. As CCIA has noted previously, the Dialogue should serve as a venue for exchanging perspectives and considering the Scientific



Panel’s analysis, without moving toward negotiated outcomes, consensus text, or prescriptive recommendations that could pre-empt or duplicate processes in other international fora. In terms of practical outputs, Dialogue sessions could focus on producing Chair’s summaries or compilations that reflect views expressed, alongside curated inventories of best practices, use cases, and existing initiatives across regions. Such outputs could also map ongoing efforts across the UN system and other multilateral processes to support coordination and build a shared evidence base to inform further action.

Thank you again to the co-facilitators for convening this consultation, and we look forward to engaging further as the Dialogue takes shape.