



**May 16, 2023**

Select Committee on Blockchain, Financial Technology and Digital Innovation Technology  
Attn: Clarissa Nord, Program Analyst  
Wyoming Legislative Service Office  
200 West 24th Street  
Cheyenne, WY 82002

## **Re: Wyoming Data Privacy Bill Draft Outline**

Dear Co-Chair Rothfuss, Co-Chair Western and Members of the Select Committee on Blockchain, Financial Technology and Digital Innovation Technology:

On behalf of the Computer & Communications Industry Association (CCIA)<sup>1</sup>, I write to provide input regarding the data privacy legislation outline. CCIA is an international, not-for-profit trade association representing a broad cross section of communications and technology firms.

CCIA supports the enactment of comprehensive federal privacy legislation to promote a trustworthy information ecosystem characterized by clear and consistent consumer privacy rights and responsibilities for organizations that collect and process data. A uniform federal approach to the protection of consumer privacy throughout the economy is necessary to ensure that businesses have regulatory certainty in meeting their compliance obligations and that consumers are able to exercise their rights. CCIA appreciates, however, that in the absence of baseline federal privacy protections, state lawmakers are attempting to fill in the gaps. To inform these efforts, CCIA produced a set of principles to promote fair and accountable data practices.<sup>2</sup>

CCIA strongly supports the protection of consumer data and understands that Wyoming residents are rightfully concerned about the proper safeguarding of their data. We appreciate the committee's consideration of our comments as the legislature considers a comprehensive consumer data privacy framework.

### **Definitions should be clear and interoperable.**

Existing broad-based privacy laws typically recognize a core set of rights and protections including individual control, transparency of processing activities, and limitations on third-party disclosures. However, even minor statutory divergences between frameworks for key definitions or the scope of privacy obligations can create onerous costs for covered organizations. Therefore, CCIA encourages that any consumer privacy legislation is reasonably aligned with existing definitions and rights in other jurisdictions' privacy laws so as to avoid unnecessary costs to Wyoming businesses. Specifically, CCIA recommends attention to recently enacted laws in Iowa, Utah, and Virginia and alignment of key

---

<sup>1</sup> CCIA is an international, not-for-profit trade association representing small, medium, and large communications and technology firms. For over 50 years, CCIA has promoted open markets, open systems, and open networks. For more information about CCIA please see: <https://www.ccianet.org/about>.

<sup>2</sup> Computer & Communications Industry Association, *Considerations for State Consumer Privacy Legislation: Principles to Promote Fair and Accountable Data Practices* (January, 2022), <https://www.ccianet.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/CCIA-State-Privacy-Principles.pdf>



definitions to allow businesses to better practically operationalizable privacy protections across state borders.

### **Privacy protections should take a risk-based approach.**

Privacy protections should be directed toward managing data collection and processing practices that pose a high risk of harming consumers or are unexpected in the context of a service. Consent mechanisms can be a powerful tool for promoting transparency and consumer control. However, it is important to recognize that the provision of many services, both online and offline, requires the collection and processing of certain user information. Requiring specific user consent for any data collection or processing would be inconsistent with consumer expectations, introduce unnecessary friction resulting in the degradation of user experience, and likely overwhelm consumers, resulting in “consent fatigue” that would lessen the impact of the most important user controls.<sup>3</sup>

### **Sufficient time is needed to allow covered entities to understand and comply with newly established requirements.**

A successful privacy framework should ensure that businesses have an appropriate and reasonable opportunity to clarify the measures that need to be taken to fully comply with new requirements. Recently enacted privacy laws in California, Colorado and Virginia included two-year delays in enforcement of those laws. CCIA recommends that any privacy legislation advanced in Wyoming include a comparable lead time to allow covered entities to come into compliance.

### **Investing enforcement authority with the state attorney general and providing a cure period would be beneficial to consumers and businesses alike.**

The draft outline indicates that the bill would allow for private civil action. By creating a new private right of action, the measure would open the doors of Wyoming’s courthouses to plaintiffs advancing frivolous claims with little evidence of actual injury. Lawsuits also prove extremely costly and time-intensive – it is foreseeable that these costs would be passed on to individual consumers in Wyoming, disproportionately impacting smaller businesses and startups across the state. Further, every state that has established a comprehensive consumer data privacy law has opted to invest enforcement authority with their respective state attorney general. This allows for the leveraging of technical expertise concerning enforcement authority, placing public interest at the forefront.

CCIA recommends that the legislation include a cure period of at least 30 days. This would allow for actors operating in good faith to correct an unknowing or technical violation, reserving formal lawsuits and violation penalties for the bad actors that the bill intends to address. This would also focus the government’s limited resources on enforcing the law’s provisions for those that persist in violations despite being made aware of such alleged violations. Such notice allows consumers to receive injunctive relief, but without the time and expense of bringing a formal suit. Businesses would also be better equipped with the time and resources to address potential privacy changes rather than shifting focus to defending against litigation.

<sup>3</sup> See Article 29 Data Protection Working Party, WP 259, *Guidelines on Consent Under Regulation 2016/679*, 17 (Apr. 10, 2018), (“In the digital context, many services need personal data to function, hence, data subjects receive multiple consent requests that need answers through clicks and swipes every day. This may result in a certain degree of click fatigue: when encountered too many times, the actual warning effect of consent mechanisms is diminishing.”), <https://ec.europa.eu/newsroom/article29/items/623051>.



\* \* \* \* \*

We appreciate your consideration of these comments and stand ready to provide additional information as the legislature considers proposals related to technology policy.

Sincerely,

Khara Boender  
State Policy Director  
Computer & Communications Industry Association