March 14, 2023

Senate Commerce and Labor Committee
Attn: Stephanie Ayub, Executive Secretary
425 5th Ave. N
Suite 736 Cordell Hull Bldg.
Nashville, TN 37243

Re: SB 1299 - "AN ACT to amend Tennessee Code Annotated, Title 4; Title 47 and Title 65, relative to social media." (Oppose)

Dear Chair Bailey and Members of the Senate Commerce and Labor Committee:

CCIA is an international, not-for-profit trade association representing a broad cross-section of communications and technology firms. Proposed regulations on the interstate provision of digital services can have a significant impact on CCIA members. Recent sessions have seen an increasing volume of state legislation related to the regulation of what digital services host and how they host it. CCIA recognizes that policymakers are appropriately interested in the digital services that make a growing contribution to the U.S. economy. Bills focused on the content of internet speech, however, require study, because they may raise constitutional concerns, conflict with federal law, and risk impeding digital services companies in their efforts to restrict inappropriate or harmful content on their platforms.

1. Tennessee cannot and should not attempt to force private online businesses to publish dangerous or otherwise objectionable content.

To date, courts have indicated that social media companies are not universal services. The Legislature cannot circumvent the First Amendment by foisting upon an unwilling company a legal status it does not have. Just as digital services do not serve all users, they do not publish all content. In addition to prohibiting illegal content as required by relevant state and federal laws, many digital services remove content that is dangerous, though not inherently illegal. This includes, for example, content that exhorts users to self-harm or encourages young people to engage in dangerous or destructive behavior. Thus, while it is not explicitly illegal to engage in cyberbullying, or to evangelize the Chinese Communist Party, many digital services nevertheless act on such content to uphold commitments to their user communities to combat dangerous or abhorrent categories of content or behavior.

Thus, if social media services are compelled to treat all user-generated material with indifference as if they were universal services, their platforms could become saturated with inappropriate and potentially dangerous content and behavior. Consumers would be exposed to foreign disinformation, communist propaganda, and

---

1 For over 50 years, CCIA has promoted open markets, open systems, and open networks. CCIA members employ more than 1.6 million workers, invest more than $100 billion in research and development, and contribute trillions of dollars in productivity to the global economy. A list of CCIA members is available at https://www.ccianet.org/members.


anti-American extremism, all of which are not inherently unlawful, and would appear to constitute a “viewpoint” under SB 1299.

2. New regulations would impose duplicative responsibilities on businesses with no tangible benefit to consumers.

SB 1299 would require companies to compile and publish information regarding their content management, data management, and business practices. Many online platforms already voluntarily invest in generating such reports regularly and make them publicly available on their websites. There is no need to generate additional bureaucracy to effectuate what the marketplace is already accomplishing.

Digital services invest significant resources into developing and carrying out content moderation practices that protect users from harmful or offensive material and need flexibility in order to address new challenges as they emerge. Instead, the proposed requirements in SB 1299 would mandate that services disclose sensitive information, including content moderation practices, algorithms, and techniques as well as training materials that could be exploited by bad actors. Tennessee should not offer a roadmap to criminals and adversaries on how to defeat the measures the digital services employ to protect consumers from online threats.

3. Businesses operating online depend on clear regulatory certainty across jurisdictions nationwide.

Existing U.S. law provides websites and online businesses with legal and regulatory certainty that they will not be held liable for third-party content and conduct. By limiting the liability of digital services for misconduct by third-party users, U.S. law has created a robust internet ecosystem where commerce, innovation, and free expression thrive — all while enabling providers to take creative and aggressive steps to fight online abuse. Ambiguous and inconsistent regulation at the state level would undermine this business certainty and deter new entrants, harming competition and consumers.

4. Research suggests that removing such regulatory certainty could have significant economic impacts.

The Bureau of Economic Analysis of the U.S. Commerce Department estimated that the digital economy built on this regulatory certainty “accounted for $3.70 trillion of gross output, $2.41 trillion of value added (translating to 10.3 percent of U.S. gross domestic product (GDP)), $1.24 trillion of compensation, and 8.0 million jobs.” Introducing a state patchwork of differing and potentially conflicting regulatory requirements would result in legal uncertainty, create unprecedented economic distortions, and jeopardize the tools used by the vast majority of Americans to speak and express themselves online.

---

Survey research also demonstrates that changing regulations to remove intermediary protections would have a negative effect on venture capital investment.\(^8\) Similarly, economic research found that such investment in cloud computing firms increased significantly in the U.S. relative to the European Union after a court decision involving intermediary liability.\(^9\)

Investors in digital intermediaries and their business users could see significant losses, which would be felt widely across the American population. Digital intermediaries account for at least one-fifth, and potentially more than a quarter, of the S&P 500 by index weighting.\(^10\) Thus a major reduction in the value of their securities would significantly harm passive investors’ low-cost index funds that track the S&P 500 Index, commonly a top investment in 401(k) plans and personal investments for ordinary Americans. According to Morningstar, retail investors held $8.53 trillion in index funds that seek to replicate market indicators like the S&P 500 Index or related measures with similarly large digital intermediary representation.\(^11\) Likewise, American pension plans are heavily invested in digital intermediaries: the average government employee pension plan has 4.3 of the 5 leading digital intermediaries in its top 10 holdings.\(^12\)

5. The private right of action would result in the proliferation of frivolous lawsuits.

SB 1299 permits users to bring legal action against companies that have been accused of violating new regulations. By creating a new private right of action, the measure would open the doors of Tennessee's courthouses to plaintiffs advancing frivolous claims with little evidence of actual injury. As lawsuits prove extremely costly and time-intensive, it is foreseeable that these costs would be passed on to individual users and advertisers in Tennessee, disproportionately impacting smaller businesses and startups across the state.\(^13\)

We appreciate your consideration of these comments and stand ready to provide additional information as the legislature considers proposals related to technology policy.

Sincerely,
Jordan Rodell
State Policy Manager
Computer & Communications Industry Association
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