February 28, 2023

Joint Committee on General Law
Attn: Michael Shannon, Committee Clerk
Legislative Office Building, Room 3500
300 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06106


Dear Co-Chair Maroney, Co-Chair D’Agostino, and Members of the Joint Committee on General Law:


CCIA is an international, not-for-profit trade association representing a broad cross-section of communications and technology firms\(^1\). Proposed regulations on the interstate provision of digital services therefore can have a significant impact on CCIA members. Recent sessions have seen an increasing volume of state legislation related to the regulation of digital services. While recognizing that policymakers are appropriately interested in the digital services that make a growing contribution to the U.S. economy, these bills require study, as they may raise constitutional concerns, conflicts with federal law, and risk impeding digital services companies in their efforts to restrict inappropriate or dangerous content on their platforms.

CCIA strongly believes children deserve an enhanced level of security and privacy online. Currently, there are a number of efforts among our members to incorporate protective design features into their websites and platforms\(^2\). CCIA’s members have been leading the effort in raising the standard for teen safety and privacy across our industry by creating new features, settings, parental tools, and protections that are age-appropriate and tailored to the differing developmental needs of young people. Ahead of the introduction of full legislative language pertaining to the creation of an age-appropriate design code, we offer the following comments to help guide the legislature’s consideration of this policy area.

\(^1\) For 50 years, CCIA has promoted open markets, open systems, and open networks. CCIA members employ more than 1.6 million workers, invest more than $100 billion in research and development, and contribute trillions of dollars in productivity to the global economy. A list of CCIA members is available at https://www.ccianet.org/members.

\(^2\) Jordan Rodell, Why Implementing Education is a Logical Starting Point for Children’s Safety Online, Disruptive Competition Project (Feb. 7, 2023), https://www.project-disco.org/privacy/020723-why-implementing-education-is-a-logcal-starting-point-for-childrens-safety-online/.
1. Any legislation should acknowledge that different age groups warrant different treatment.

The current bill language captures any child under the age of eighteen, but full legislative language should be sure to recognize that different age groups warrant different treatment. Due to the nuanced ways in which children under the age of 18 use the internet, it is imperative to appropriately tailor such treatments to respective age groups. For example, if a 16-year-old is conducting research for a school project, it is expected that they would come across, learn from, and discern from a wider array of materials than a 7-year-old on the internet playing video games.

2. Restricting access to the internet for children also restricts their access to supportive communities that may not be accessible forums in their physical location.

When businesses are required to deny access to social networking sites or other online resources, this may also unintentionally restrict children’s ability to access and connect with like-minded individuals and communities. For example, children of racial or other minority groups may not live in an area where they can easily connect with others that represent and relate to their own unique experiences. An online central meeting place where kids can share their experiences and find support can have positive impacts.

The hyperconnected nature of social media has led many to allege that online services may be negatively impacting teenagers’ mental health. However, some researchers argue that this theory is not well supported by existing evidence and repeats a “moral panic” argument frequently associated with new technologies and new modes of communication. Instead, social media effects are nuanced,\(^3\) small at best, reciprocal over time, and gender-specific. Teens themselves also paint a nuanced picture of the effects of social media. It is one in which majorities credit these platforms\(^4\) with deepening connections and providing a support network when they need it. In a recent survey, 80% of teens say that what they see on social media makes them feel more connected to what’s going on in their friends’ lives, while 71% say it makes them feel like they have a place where they can show their creative side. Additionally, 67% also say these platforms make them feel as if they have people who can support them through tough times.

---


3. Businesses operating online depend on clear regulatory certainty across jurisdictions nationwide.

Existing U.S. law provides websites and online businesses with legal and regulatory certainty that they will not be held liable for third-party content and conduct. By limiting the liability of digital services for misconduct by third-party users, U.S. law has created a robust internet ecosystem where commerce, innovation, and free expression thrive — all while enabling providers to take creative and aggressive steps to fight online abuse. Ambiguous and inconsistent regulation at the state level would undermine this business certainty and deter new entrants, harming competition and consumers. This particularly applies to new small businesses that tend to operate with more limited resources and could be constrained by costs associated with compliance. While larger companies may be able to more easily absorb such costs, it could disproportionately prevent new smaller start-ups from entering the market.

Further, careful consideration of what constitutes best practice should involve conversations with practitioners and relevant stakeholders. Online businesses are already taking steps to ensure a safer and more trustworthy internet — recently, leading online businesses announced that they have been voluntarily participating in the Digital Trust & Safety Partnership (DTSP) to develop and implement best practices and recently reported on the efforts to implement these commitments. We urge lawmakers to study both the benefits and drawbacks of teen safety and privacy requirements and to engage with practitioners and stakeholders to support the ongoing development of practicable solutions.

4. The Attorney General’s office should have exclusive authority on enforcement.

Any legislation must place the sole enforcement authority with the Attorney General’s office, in order to avoid creating any punitive financial incentives and the potential for a flood of frivolous claims that could clog up Connecticut’s courthouses.

* * * * * *

---

5 Margaret Harding McGill, Tech giants list principles for handling harmful content, Axios (Feb. 18, 2021), https://www.axios.com/techgiants-list-principles-for-handling-harmful-content-5c9cfba9-05bc-49ad-846a-baf01abf5976.html.  
We appreciate the Joint Committee's consideration of these comments and stand ready to provide additional information as the Legislature considers proposals related to technology policy.

Sincerely,

Khara Boender
State Policy Director
Computer & Communications Industry Association